

PATIENT REPORTED ATTITUDES TO INTRAVENOUS AND SUBCUTANEOUS ADMINISTERED THERAPIES FOR INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

Ajay Verma

UEG Week Berlin 2025

Poster

IBD

October 4, 2025

A survey of 228 IBD patients compared satisfaction with intravenous versus subcutaneous biologic therapies, finding high satisfaction with both routes but different preferences based on convenience and monitoring needs.

KEY POINTS

- Among 122 patients on IV therapy, 89.2% rated administration as easy and 91.4% would recommend the IV route, with 97.2% attending all outpatient appointments.
- Among 106 patients on SC therapy, 83.9% rated administration as easy and 82.1% would recommend the SC route, with 96.2% attending all outpatient appointments.
- Of patients with experience of both routes, 72.9% of those currently on SC preferred it for convenience and avoiding hospital visits, while 60.0% of those currently on IV preferred it because they disliked self-injection or valued monitoring.
- Side effects were reported by 29.8% of IV patients and 37.7% of SC patients, with most reporting little to moderate impact on quality of life.
- Concerns about fewer face-to-face episodes were expressed by 19.8% of SC patients, while adherence to blood tests and appointments remained high in both groups.

VIEW THIS CONTENT ON GUTFLIX

<https://gutflix.eu/search/d/dc4ae25a-a815-11f0-b2c4-0242ac140006>



AI-generated summary

This summary was generated by an AI large language model based on the content transcript. It is for informational purposes only and should not be considered a substitute for clinical judgment. Always rely on your professional expertise and the full clinical context when making clinical decisions.