

Considerations for the implementation of sampling and culturing of flexible endoscopes in daily routine

Annette Rittich

UEG Week Vienna 2024

Presentation

Nurses

October 12, 2024

The speaker presented the need for standardized microbiologic surveillance protocols for endoscope sampling and culturing, highlighting major international variations in current guidelines and calling for European input into developing unified ISO-level standards.

KEY POINTS

- Contamination rates in endoscopy occur across all endoscope types, designs, and manufacturers, with published studies reporting rates ranging from 0.4% to 50% depending on methodology and local guidelines.
- Current international guidelines vary dramatically in classification thresholds (Netherlands measures per 20ml, Denmark per 0.2ml, France per 100ml) and in defining high-risk versus low-risk organisms, making results difficult to compare.
- Microbiologic surveillance serves two purposes: identifying persistent contamination that may pose patient risk, particularly with multi-drug resistant organisms, and evaluating the quality of the reprocessing environment and procedures.
- European practice tests every channel of every endoscope, whereas US practice tests only the instrument channel; the speaker stated Europeans have the most extensive experience with endoscope sampling protocols, citing examples of 40 years of practice.
- The speaker called for European and Australian practitioners to contribute their experience to ISO and NSG-level standardization initiatives to develop unified sampling and culturing protocols.
- The speaker disclosed employment with Olympus in a non-commercial infection prevention role and recommended visiting their booth for brand-independent infection prevention resources.

VIEW THIS CONTENT ON GUTFLIX

<https://gutflix.eu/search/d/d7b0259a-9130-11ef-bb28-0242ac140004>



AI-generated summary

This summary was generated by an AI large language model based on the content transcript. It is for informational purposes only and should not be considered a substitute for clinical judgment. Always rely on your professional expertise and the full clinical context when making clinical decisions.