

SURVEY EXPLORING CLINICIAN CONFIDENCE WHEN ASSESSING PATIENTS WITH LOWER GI DISORDERS OF GUT-BRAIN INTERACTION

Emma V. Carrington

UEG Week Berlin 2025

Poster

Colorectal

October 6, 2025

A cross-sectional survey of 50 UK gastroenterologists and colorectal surgeons found that while overall confidence in obtaining histories from patients with lower gastrointestinal disorders of gut-brain interaction increases with training progression, confidence remains notably low for assessing psychological factors, particularly trauma and abuse history.

KEY POINTS

- Median self-reported confidence in history taking was 7 during specialty training and 10 at post-CCT level ($p < 0.0001$), but specific confidence for trauma/abuse history (median 5) and psychological comorbidities (median 6) was significantly lower than for other clinical areas ($p < 0.0001$).
- The major barriers to confidence were lack of training (58%) and lack of experience (50%), followed by concerns about managing sensitive information (26%) and difficulties discussing topics with patients from different genders or social backgrounds (18%).
- Only 38% of respondents reported receiving any training in history taking for these patients, and 83% expressed desire for additional training, with 76% preferring to receive it from their training providers.
- Formal training in clinical assessment of patients with lower gastrointestinal disorders of gut-brain interaction is rare in UK and European training programs despite the complexity and prevalence of these conditions.
- This material is relevant for training program directors, gastroenterology and colorectal surgery trainees, and clinicians managing patients with irritable bowel syndrome, chronic constipation, and faecal incontinence.

VIEW THIS CONTENT ON GUTFLIX

<https://gutflix.eu/search/d/311fad20-a815-11f0-a981-0242ac140006>



AI-generated summary

This summary was generated by an AI large language model based on the content transcript. It is for informational purposes only and should not be considered a substitute for clinical judgment. Always rely on your professional expertise and the full clinical context when making clinical decisions.