

DIETARY EMULSIFIERS AND ULTRA-PROCESSED FOODS IN INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE: INTAKE AND ASSOCIATIONS WITH PATIENT OUTCOMES IN A CONTROLLED CROSS-SECTIONAL AND LONGITUDINAL STUDY

Severine Vermeire

UEG Week Berlin 2025

Poster

Small Intestine & Nutrition

October 5, 2025

A prospective study of 186 participants found that patients with inflammatory bowel disease consumed significantly higher amounts of dietary emulsifiers than healthy controls despite similar ultra-processed food intake, with trends suggesting associations between higher emulsifier and ultra-processed food consumption and worse clinical outcomes.

KEY POINTS

- Patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis had significantly higher total emulsifier intake compared to healthy controls (9.02 ± 5.28 and 8.50 ± 6.13 vs 5.64 ± 4.94 daily servings, $p=0.0004$ and $p=0.03$ respectively), while ultra-processed food intake was similar across all groups.
- Specific emulsifiers including carrageenan, mono- and di-glycerides of fatty acids, potassium alginate, and xanthan gum were consumed at significantly higher levels in both Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients compared to healthy controls.
- Longitudinal analysis over one year showed trends toward associations between higher ultra-processed food intake and increased emergency department visits ($p=0.059$) and surgery ($p=0.064$), and between higher emulsifier intake and increased IBD-related hospitalization ($p=0.056$).
- No correlation was observed between serum C-reactive protein levels and intake of ultra-processed foods, emulsifiers, macronutrients, or total energy.

- This material would be most relevant to gastroenterologists and dietitians managing inflammatory bowel disease patients and researchers investigating dietary factors in IBD pathogenesis.

VIEW THIS CONTENT ON GUTFLIX

<https://gutflix.eu/search/d/80ab60ba-a814-11f0-aa88-0242ac140006>



AI-generated summary

This summary was generated by an AI large language model based on the content transcript. It is for informational purposes only and should not be considered a substitute for clinical judgment. Always rely on your professional expertise and the full clinical context when making clinical decisions.